L. R
(1895. 1896)

29 / 1896
45, 47 & 50

Sub:- Refund of accounts and
Cess Collected by the Durbar
on lands in the Viruposandra
Village Pavagada Taluk
made over to the Madras
Government -

29

*Previous references.*
L.R. 1-38 of the Same file

190

(Land Revenue-)

File No. 34 of 95-96

Serial Nos. 45, 47-50

*Later references.*
L.R. 276 of 1902-03.

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 45, 47 – 50

**Part B. for** Consolidated

SUBJECT.

Refund of the assessment and cess collected by the Durbar on lands in the Pirupasandra Village, Pavagada Taluk, made over to the Madras Government

Serial No. 45 – From the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore No 3241 dated 20.6.1902.

Requests that the refund of land revenue and cesses collected by the Durbar on certain fields in Pirupasandra village, Pavagada Taluk, claimed by the Madras Govt may be granted if there be no objection.

Serial No. 47 – To the Dy Comr Tumkur Dis No. 258 dated 11.7.1902.

With refer to correspondence ending with his no 41 D 12.7.01. and a representation by the Govt of Madras to the effect that the land revenue & cesses for (1900-01), have been collected by the Mysore Durbar, etc – enquiries on certain points in the matter.

G. R. S. 58

P. T.O.

Serial no ~~48~~ From the A.A. Resident in Mysore - No 4115

dated 28.7.02 (Received)

Serial no - 48 From the Dy Comr Tumkur Dt. no 1413 dated 8.9.1902.

States that the fields referred to belonging to the Veerapasandra Village, Sampgada Taluk, were transferred to the Madras Govt in October 1900, and that as the payment of compensation could not be made till the end of June 1901, the amount ascertained - Collected Rs 36-1-6 is payable to the Madras Government.

Serial no 49 . . To the Dy Comm, Tumkur Dt - The Comptroller, Mysore Government P. O. No R. 1358-9 dated 29.9.02. R 87 95

Sanctions the refund; states that the Dy Comr will draw the amount & remit it at once to the Collector of Anantapur the receipt obtained being sent to the Comptroller, Mysore Govt and that the Dy Comr is certainly responsible for the omission to give the necessary instructions to the village Officials for not collecting the amount & to strike off the Cowle from the Khata.

Serial no - 50 To the A.A Resident in Mysore.

No R. 1360 dated 29.9.02.
R. 87 95

with refce to S. no, states that it has been ascertained that the collection was made by the mistake of the village officials, and forwards for information, a copy of Progs. directing the amount being paid over the to the Madras Govt.

| Survey No. | Extent | Assessment | Local Cess | Abkari Cess | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24 | 8 | 5 | 5 7 | 5 7 | 6 |
| 42 | 26 | 6 | 6 . | 6 . | 7 12 . |
| 41 | 30 | 7 | 7 . | 7 . | 14 . |
| 40 | 27 | 6 | 6 3 | 6 3 | 13 6 . |
| 40 | 22 | 2 | 2 6 | 2 6 | 6 6 . |
| 40 | 12 | 1 | 1 3 | 1 3 | 2 6 . |
| 40 | 37 | 4 | 4 . | 4 . | 8 . |
| | | | | | 36 13 6 |
| 1 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 . | 6 . | |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 7 . | 7 . | |
| 1 | 0 | 6 | 6 3 | 6 3 | |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 6 | 2 6 | |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 3 | 1 3 | |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 . | 4 . | |

(5)

cesses on the lands as noted in the margin falling due as per account to October 1900, have been collected by the Mysore Authorities between February and May 1901, and the refund of the amount (Rs 36-13-6) claimed by the Madras Govt. The 1st Assistant Resident requests that if there be no objection, the refund claimed by the Madras Government may be granted.

45 The assessment and cesses alleged to have been levied is apparently for the year 1900-1901, which corresponds with the Fasli year 1310, mentioned in the Madras Government letter.

46 If approved, ~~any~~ information on the following points may be called for from the Dy Comr

4

1. Whether any revenue on the lands in question was collected subsequent to their transfer to the Madras Govt ?

2. If so, what was the amount and for what year was it due ?

3. If it belonged to the year 1900-1901, why was it levied ?

4. Is any portion of revenue out of the said amount due to Govt ?

5. Is there any objection to refund the amount collected to the Madras Govt ?

Put up a draft to the Dy Govt —

1/7/02

Draft/Sub 3/7/02

Note contd.

The Dy: Govt replies that, Subsequent to the transfer of the land in Oct: 1900 to the

14398. 9.02.

No. 410 dt 19.10.01

41

The Dy. Commr. Tumkur [illegible] reports as follows:-

That in 1897 a Confiscation of Rs 800 (@ 300 per acre) had been settled for Rs 24 one of the Lands thus made over to the Madras Govt, that owing to the default of the Bhatkeras to pay Kandayam for 1898-99 this land had become forfeited to Govt, affording a handle for the purchase of it by Govt, but that the similar rights of doing it rendered the sale of it, that the Patel & the Shanbogue who valued the land at Rs 800 in 1897 for the purposes of acquisition by Govt, suppressed the fact at the sale, undervalued it at Rs 2/-, caused it to be sold to one Kamati Kariyappa for Rs 2-4-0, that the sale has been confirmed by the 1st Asst Commr. in charge of the Taluk, that the purchaser has effected the improvement of the land, that the Confiscation Amount has been lied in deposit, and the Dy Commr, under these circumstances solicits orders of Govt in the matter.

Submitted to the Secretary for orders

13/11/01

N 19/11/01

Send for the Sale proceedings of the land referred to

26/11/07

draft 27/11/07

Note Continued.

The Dy Comr has not as yet forwarded the Sale proceedings called for as above, and a draft reminder is submitted for approval.

1/12/07

Regarding the assessment on the lands transferred to the Madras Govt in October 1907 (Please see para 30 of this note) the 1st Govt decision has now forwarded copy of letter of No 480 M.D. 6. 07 from the Secretary to the Govt of Madras, in which it is stated that the assessment and

× Survey Nos. 24/1+2, 27, 40/1, 2, 3+4 & 42/1+2

madras Govt., the village officials collected the assessment + cesses due thereon for 1900-1901 viz: Rs 36-13-6. It was so collected because the payment of Compensation to the holders concerned was not possible to effect till the end of June 1901, within which time the Rev: + cesses on the lands fell due under the prevailing Kistbandi Rules. As nothing is due out of the said amount to the (Impl) Govt, there is no obj:n on his part to refund the same to the Govt: of madras.

Submitted with 2 drafts.

K.S
15.9.02.

Secretary

20/9/02

may be done
22/9/1902

x = Refis

x To the Dewan
through the Ld Councillor

Rs 20/9/02
479

Copy of letter, No.1460, dated the 10th June 1903, from the Acting Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue Department, to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

---

I am directed to address you on the subject of the collection by the Darbar of the land revenue and cesses due for fasli 1310 on certain fields in the village of Virupasamudram in the Pavagada Taluk in Mysore acquired by this Government for the upkeep of the Narasapuram channel in the Anantapur district. The marginally noted fields were taken possession of on behalf of this Government in October 1900 and the compensation due to the Darbar on account of the capitalised value of the loss of assessment was in the same month credited to the Darbar by the Accountant General (Vide-Correspondence ending with Mr. Forbes' letter, No.1042, dated 30th April 1901). The assessment and cesses falling due on the fields subsequent to the above month and payable to this Government amount to Rupees 36-13-6, as detailed in the statement herewith enclosed. This sum has, however, been collected by the Mysore authorities between February and May 1901. I am accordingly to request that the Darbar may be moved to refund the amount to this Government.

Survey Nos. 34/3, 34/1, 37 40. and 42.

Draft-29
Ddr. 87 95-98
S. 47

(12)

DR 95 87
29

To
The Dy Commr
Tumkur Dt.

62

Dated 11th July 1902.

R 258
R 787-95

With reference to Correspce
ending with his No 4/D/12-7-01
and a representation by the Govt
of Madras to the effect that the
Land revenue and cesses (amounting
to Rs 36-13-6) due for fasli 1310
(1900-01) on the fields in the
village of Virupasamudram,
Pavagada Taluk, which were
recently transferred to that Govt
have been collected by the Mysore
Darbar, as detailed in the accompany
Statt and that such collection
should be refunded to that Govt,
has the honor to enquire,

Encl:-
Copy of the Statt enclosed
with the Residency letter No-
3341/D/20/6/02.

5

(13)

(1) Whether any revenue on the lands in question was collected subsequent to their transfer to the Madras Govt?

(2) If so, what was the amount and for what year was it due?

(3) If it was for 1900-01, why was it levied?

(4) Is any portion of revenue out of the said amount due to the Mysore Govt? and

(5) Is there any objection to refund the amount collected to the Madras Govt?

By order

9/7/02

for Offg Secretary

3/7/02.

3/7.

16246
Pol. 1906.
Diary No. R. 5-203

L. R. 87/95-96
Sh. No. 45

No. 334

The Resident's Office.

Bangalore, 20th June 1902.

Copy of the foregoing letter, with a copy of its enclosure, is forwarded to the Dewan of Mysore with reference to correspondence ending with letter, No.10173-R.F.87.95, dated the 24th June 1901 from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, with a request that, if there be no objection, the refund claimed by the Madras Government may be granted.

LR 87-95-45
29

L Rice M.

First Assistant Resident.

vs.

Enclosure No. 20 46

(3)

# Statement

| Survey No. | | Extent | | Assessment | | | Land cess | | | Irrigation cess | | | Total | | | Name of the party from whom collected by Government |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | a | c | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | |
| 24 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 15 | " | " | 5 | 9 | " | 5 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 6 | Konati Sanjiva |
| 42 | 2 | 1 | 30 | 7 | " | " | " | 7 | " | " | 7 | " | 7 | 14 | " | G. Narasimma |
| 46 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 6 | 4 | " | " | 6 | 3 | " | 6 | 3 | 7 | " | 6 | Chennigya |
| 40 | 2 | " | 22 | 2 | 8 | " | " | 2 | 6 | " | 2 | 6 | 2 | 13 | " | Ichadhra |
| 40 | 3 | " | 12 | 1 | 4 | " | " | 1 | 3 | " | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 6 | Karagam Sanjiga |
| 43 | 4 | " | 39 | 4 | " | " | " | 4 | " | " | 4 | " | 4 | 8 | " | Nyanamanahalli Narasimha |
| 42 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 6 | " | " | " | 6 | " | " | 6 | " | 6 | 12 | " | Nanjiga Timma |
| | | | | | | | Total Assessment | | | | | | 36 | 13 | 6 | |

Copy

A. S. Tumarikai Nanjaya

Registrar

vs.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 6939
SEP 10 1902
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

1902

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

66.

To The Secretary to the Govern
of Mysore.
Genl. Rev. Department
Bangalore

Dated 8th September 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 143
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Secy's docket no. R 258 dated
R7. 8795
11th July 1902

Stating that the fields refer
to belonging to the Virupasandra
Village, Pavagada Taluk were
transfered to the Madras Govt
in Octr 1900, and that as the
payment of Compensation could
not be made till the end of
June 1901, the amount of assess
ment collected viz Rs 36-1-6
is payable to the Madras Govt

Ref correspdce
with Madras

10 9 02

K.S
15/9
note contd + draft put up
15/9/02
K.S.

No 143
6 1716
Tom

R.T. 87-95
87-95.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District
Tumkur 8th September 1902

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq^r
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To. H.V. Nanjundayya Esq^r. M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir,

In continuing with ~~your docket~~ No. R 258
R.T. 87-95
Adverting to correspondence ending with your docket No. R 258 dated 11th July last, I have the honor to state that though the fields referred to, belonging to the village of Kinkasandra, Parapatna Taluk, were transferred to the Government of Madras on the 20th October 1900, the payment of compensation to the holders concerned could not be effected till the end of June 1901, and the Khata of the land consequently continued in the name of the then holders. The village officials appear therefore to have collected the assessment and cesses due thereon for 1900-1901 viz Rs 36-1-6 and their action was upheld by the then Amildar Veeraghavalu Naidu, though he should have obtained the district orders of their office in the matter.

2. Out of the abovementioned amount I beg to state that nothing is due to the Government. It is therefore refundable to

to the Madras Government.

3. The Vernacular records relating to the Case ending with the Pavagada Amildar's report no. 87 dated 7th August 1902 are herewith forwarded for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Offg Deputy Commissioner

CR 42/95
29.8.1950 68 10

(19)

To,

The First Asstt: Resident.

no: R 1360 / R-I 87-95 dt 29/8/02

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 3341 dt 20.6.02, forwarding a copy of letter no: 1460 dt 10.6.02 from the acting Secretary to the Govt: of Madras, Rev: Dept to the Hon'ble the Resident in respone, on the subject of the collection by the Dubar of the land Revenue + Cesses due for fasli 1310 on certain fields in the Vempasamudra village in the Pavagada Taluk in mysore recently acquired by the Madras Govt: for the upkeep of the Nara = sapuram Channel in the Anantha dt:, I am directed to state that the Dept Govt: Kurnool, subm to that, subsequent to the transfer of the lands in Oct: 1900 the Madras Govt:, the village officials collected the assessment + cesses due thereon for 1900-1901, as the Compensation due to the holders had not been paid & the Khata continued to be in their name till the end of June 1901. The village officials were wrong and the Huildar (since retired) did not give them proper instructions.

A copy of the Proc: directing the

it has been ascertained that the Collection was made by the mistake of the village officials, and to forward for ~~the~~ inf[n] ~~of~~ a Copy of ~~the~~ Proc: direct- ing the amount being paid over ~~credited~~ to the Madras Govt.

27/9

refund of the amount is enclosed for the information of the Hon'ble the Resident & Communication to the Govt: of Madras.

I have the honor to be,
&c:—

VL
20/9/02 Secretary.

~~L.R. 8-5-95~~

2 Drafts 8/49 6/8

Read: —.

Letter no: 33418, 20.6.02, from the First Asstt: to the Hon'ble the Resident in mysore stating that the Amildar of the Pavagad Taluk collected the Rev: & Cesses, viz: Rs 36-13-6, due on certain lands for 1900-01 in the Virupasamudram village, Pavagada Taluk, transfered to the Govt: of Madras in October 1900 and requesting that the amount might be refunded.

L.R. 29/8/02

Read: —.

Letter no: 14388-9.02, from the Dy: Comr: Tumkur dt, stating that he has no objection to refund the amount to the Govt: of Madras.

Order thereon: —.

The refund is sanctioned ~~& forwarded to the Comptroller, Mysore Govt:, for audit~~. He is

E.W.P.

No R 135 8-9 / 29/8/02
R. 787-95 / 29

The Asstt Comr Pavagada will draw the amount & remit it at once to the Collector of Canara for credit to the Voucher

requested to remit the amount to the Resident's Treasury in Bangalore in payment to the Govt. of Madras.

Obt. order being sent to the Comptr., Mysore for info.

2. The village officials who reflect not to have been made the collection should be charged.

Secretary.

Under

the Dy. Comr., Bangalore, with ref. to the Comptr., Mysore Govt.; with ref.

Zamkur

:8
19/1:

Mysore Comptr's letter containing reference from the Commissioner to whom he can have applied to give the necessary instructions to the village officials for not collecting the amount & to strike off the land from the Register

Mysore 25. IX. 02

ZMS